# Resampling-Based Control of the FDR

## Joseph P. Romano<sup>1</sup> Azeem S. Shaikh<sup>2</sup> and Michael Wolf<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics Stanford University

<sup>2</sup>Department of Economics University of Chicago

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Empirical Research in Economics University of Zurich



Problem Formulation	Existing Methods	New Method	Theory & Practice	Simulations
Outline				



- 2 Existing Methods
- 3 New Method
- 4 Theory & Practice





・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

Problem Formulation	Existing Methods	New Method	Theory & Practice	Simulations
Outline				



- 2 Existing Methods
- 3 New Method
- 4 Theory & Practice

5 Simulations



## General Set-Up & Notation

- Data  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  from distribution P
- Interest in parameter vector  $\theta(P) = \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s)'$
- The individual hypotheses concern the elements θ<sub>i</sub>, for i = 1,..., s, and can be (all) one-sided or (all) two-sided

One-sided hypotheses:

$$H_i: heta_i \leq heta_{0,i}$$
 vs.  $H'_i: heta_i > heta_{0,i}$ 

Two-sided hypotheses:

$$H_i: \theta_i = \theta_{0,i}$$
 vs.  $H'_i: \theta_i \neq \theta_{0,i}$ 



### General Set-Up & Notation

- Data  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  from distribution *P*
- Interest in parameter vector  $\theta(P) = \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s)'$
- The individual hypotheses concern the elements θ<sub>i</sub>, for i = 1,..., s, and can be (all) one-sided or (all) two-sided

One-sided hypotheses:

$$H_i: \theta_i \leq \theta_{0,i}$$
 vs.  $H'_i: \theta_i > \theta_{0,i}$ 

Two-sided hypotheses:

$$H_i: \theta_i = \theta_{0,i}$$
 vs.  $H'_i: \theta_i \neq \theta_{0,i}$ 

• Test statistic  $T_{n,i} = (\hat{\theta}_{n,i} - \theta_{0,i})/\hat{\sigma}_{n,i}$  or  $T_{n,i} = |\hat{\theta}_{n,i} - \theta_{0,i}|/\hat{\sigma}_{n,i}$ •  $\hat{\sigma}_{n,i}$  is a standard error for  $\hat{\theta}_{n,i}$  or  $\hat{\sigma}_{n,i} \equiv 1/\sqrt{n}$ •  $\hat{p}_{n,i}$  is an individual *p*-value New Method

(日)

# The False Discovery Rate

Consider *s* individual tests  $H_i$  vs.  $H'_i$ .

False discovery proportion

F = # false rejections; R = # total rejections

$$FDP = \frac{F}{R} 1\{R > 0\} = \frac{F}{\max\{R, 1\}}$$

False discovery rate

•  $FDR_P = E_P(FDP)$ 

Goal: (strong) asymptotic control of the FDR at level  $\alpha$ :

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathsf{FDR}_{P} \leq \alpha \quad \text{for all } P$$





- 2 Existing Methods
- 3 New Method
- 4 Theory & Practice

5 Simulations



(日)

A D > A B > A B > A B >

## Benjamini and Hochberg (1995)

Stepup method:

- Let  $j^* = \max\left\{j : \hat{p}_{n,(j)} \le \alpha_j\right\}$ , where  $\alpha_j = j\alpha/s$
- Reject *H*<sub>(1)</sub>,...,*H*<sub>(j\*)</sub>

Comments:

- Original proof assumes independence of p-values
- Validity has been extended to certain dependence types (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001)



# Modifications of BH (1995)

Benjamini and Yekutieli (2001):

- Instead of  $\alpha_j = j\alpha/s$  use  $\alpha_j = j\alpha/(s \cdot C_s)$  with  $C_s = \sum_{r=1}^s \frac{1}{r}$
- Works under arbitrary dependence
- But can be very conservative, since  $C_s \approx \log(s) + 0.5$



# Modifications of BH (1995)

Benjamini and Yekutieli (2001):

- Instead of  $\alpha_j = j\alpha/s$  use  $\alpha_j = j\alpha/(s \cdot C_s)$  with  $C_s = \sum_{r=1}^s \frac{1}{r}$
- Works under arbitrary dependence
- But can be very conservative, since  $C_s \approx \log(s) + 0.5$

Storey, Taylor and Siegmund (2004):

• Under sufficient conditions for BH (2005):

$$FDR_P \leq \frac{s_0}{s} \alpha$$
 where  $s_0 = |I(P)| = \#\{true \text{ hypotheses}\}$ 

• Instead of  $\alpha_j = j\alpha/s$  use  $\alpha_j = j\alpha/\hat{s}_0$  with

$$\hat{s}_0 = rac{\#\{\hat{oldsymbol{
ho}}_{n,i} > \lambda\}}{1-\lambda} \quad ext{for some } 0 < \lambda < 1$$

• Requires the  $\hat{p}_{n,i}$  to be 'almost independent'



Problem Formulation	Existing Methods	New Method	Theory & Practice	Simulations
Outline				



- 2 Existing Methods
- 3 New Method
- 4 Theory & Practice

5 Simulations



### Basic Idea (Troendle, 2000)

For any stepdown procedure with critical values  $c_1, \ldots, c_s$ :

$$\mathsf{FDR}_{P} = \mathsf{E}_{P}\left[\frac{F}{\max\{R,1\}}\right] = \sum_{1 \le r \le s} \frac{1}{r} \mathsf{E}_{P}[F|R=r]P\{R=r\}$$
  
with  $P\{R=r\} = P\{T_{n,(s)} \ge c_{s}, \dots, T_{n,(s-r+1)} \ge c_{s-r+1}, T_{n,(s-r)} < c_{s-r}\}$ 



(日)

### Basic Idea (Troendle, 2000)

For any stepdown procedure with critical values  $c_1, \ldots, c_s$ :

$$\mathsf{FDR}_{P} = \mathsf{E}_{P}\left[\frac{F}{\max\{R,1\}}\right] = \sum_{1 \le r \le s} \frac{1}{r} \mathsf{E}_{P}[F|R=r]P\{R=r\}$$
  
with  $P\{R=r\} = P\{T_{n,(s)} \ge c_{s}, \dots, T_{n,(s-r+1)} \ge c_{s-r+1}, T_{n,(s-r)} < c_{s-r}\}$ 

If all false hypotheses are rejected with  $p. \rightarrow 1$ , then with  $p. \rightarrow 1$ 

$$FDR_{P} = \sum_{s-s_{0}+1 \le r \le s} \frac{r-s+s_{0}}{r}$$
(1)  
×  $P\{T_{n,s_{0}:s_{0}} \ge c_{s_{0}}, \dots, T_{n,s-r+1:s_{0}} \ge c_{s-r+1}, T_{n,s-r:s_{0}} < c_{s-r}\}$ 

Here  $T_{n,r:t}$  is the *r*th largest of the test statistics  $T_{n,1}, \ldots, T_{n,t}$  and we assume w.l.o.g. that  $I(P) = \{1, \ldots, s_0\}$ .



・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

Goal:

- Bound (1) above by  $\alpha$  for any *P*, at least asymptotically
- In particular, this must be ensured for any  $1 \le s_0 \le s$ .

First, consider any *P* such that  $s_0 = 1$ :

- Then (1) reduces to  $\frac{1}{s} P\{T_{n,1:1} \ge c_1\}$
- And so  $c_1 = \inf\{x \in \mathbf{R} : \frac{1}{s} P\{T_{n,1:1} \ge x\} \le \alpha\}$

A D > A P > A D > A D >

Goal:

- Bound (1) above by  $\alpha$  for any *P*, at least asymptotically
- In particular, this must be ensured for any  $1 \le s_0 \le s$ .

First, consider any *P* such that  $s_0 = 1$ :

- Then (1) reduces to  $\frac{1}{s} P\{T_{n,1:1} \ge c_1\}$
- And so  $c_1 = \inf\{x \in \mathbf{R} : \frac{1}{s} P\{T_{n,1:1} \ge x\} \le \alpha\}$

Next, consider any *P* such that  $s_0 = 2$ . Then (1) reduces to

- $\frac{1}{s-1}P\{T_{n,2:2} \ge c_2, T_{n,1:2} < c_1\} + \frac{2}{s}P\{T_{n,2:2} \ge c_2, T_{n,1:2} \ge c_1\}$
- And so  $c_2$  is the smallest  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  for which  $\frac{1}{s-1}P\{T_{n,2:2} \ge x, T_{n,1:2} < c_1\} + \frac{2}{s}P\{T_{n,2:2} \ge x, T_{n,1:2} \ge c_1\} \le \alpha$



・ コ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Goal:

- Bound (1) above by  $\alpha$  for any *P*, at least asymptotically
- In particular, this must be ensured for any  $1 \le s_0 \le s$ .

First, consider any *P* such that  $s_0 = 1$ :

- Then (1) reduces to  $\frac{1}{s} P\{T_{n,1:1} \ge c_1\}$
- And so  $c_1 = \inf\{x \in \mathbf{R} : \frac{1}{s} P\{T_{n,1:1} \ge x\} \le \alpha\}$

Next, consider any *P* such that  $s_0 = 2$ . Then (1) reduces to

- $\frac{1}{s-1}P\{T_{n,2:2} \ge c_2, T_{n,1:2} < c_1\} + \frac{2}{s}P\{T_{n,2:2} \ge c_2, T_{n,1:2} \ge c_1\}$
- And so  $c_2$  is the smallest  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  for which  $\frac{1}{s-1}P\{T_{n,2:2} \ge x, T_{n,1:2} < c_1\} + \frac{2}{s}P\{T_{n,2:2} \ge x, T_{n,1:2} \ge c_1\} \le \alpha$

And so forth ...

Estimation of the  $c_i$ 

Since P is unknown, so are the 'ideal' critical values  $c_i$ .

We suggest a bootstrap method to estimate the  $c_i$ :

- $\hat{P}_n$  is an *unrestricted* estimate of *P* with  $\theta_i(\hat{P}_n) = \hat{\theta}_{n,i}$
- $X^*$  is generated from  $\hat{P}_n$  and the  $T^*_{n,i}$  are computed from  $X^*$ but centered at  $\hat{\theta}_{ni}$  rather than at  $\theta_{0i}$
- E.g., for one-sided testing:  $T_{n,i}^* = (\hat{\theta}_{n,i}^* \hat{\theta}_{n,i}) / \hat{\sigma}_{n,i}^*$



(日)

### Estimation of the $c_i$

Since *P* is unknown, so are the 'ideal' critical values  $c_i$ .

We sugggest a bootstrap method to estimate the  $c_i$ :

- $\hat{P}_n$  is an *unrestricted* estimate of *P* with  $\theta_i(\hat{P}_n) = \hat{\theta}_{n,i}$
- X\* is generated from P̂<sub>n</sub> and the T<sup>\*</sup><sub>n,i</sub> are computed from X\* but centered at θ̂<sub>n,i</sub> rather than at θ<sub>0,i</sub>
- E.g., for one-sided testing:  $T_{n,i}^* = (\hat{\theta}_{n,i}^* \hat{\theta}_{n,i}) / \hat{\sigma}_{n,i}^*$

Important detail:

- The ordering of the original *T<sub>n,i</sub>* determines the 'true' null hypotheses in the bootstrap world
- The permutation  $\{k_1, \ldots, k_s\}$  of  $\{1, \ldots, s\}$  is defined such that  $T_{n,k_1} = T_{n,(1)}, \ldots, T_{n,k_s} = T_{n,(s)}$

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

• Then  $T_{n,r:t}^*$  is the *r*th smallest of the statistics  $T_{n,k_1}^*, \ldots, T_{n,k_t}^*$ 

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Estimation of the $c_i$ (continued)

Start with  $c_1$ :

•  $\hat{c}_1 = \inf\{x \in \mathbf{R} : \frac{1}{s}\hat{P}_n\{T^*_{n,1:1} \ge x\} \le \alpha\}$ 



## Estimation of the $c_i$ (continued)

#### Start with $c_1$ :

•  $\hat{c}_1 = \inf\{x \in \mathbf{R} : \frac{1}{s}\hat{P}_n\{T^*_{n,1:1} \ge x\} \le \alpha\}$ 

#### Then move on to $c_2$ :

• 
$$\hat{c}_2$$
 is the smallest  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  for which  

$$\frac{1}{s-1}\hat{P}_n\{T^*_{n,2:2} \ge x, T^*_{n,1:2} < \hat{c}_1\} + \frac{2}{s}\hat{P}_n\{T^*_{n,2:2} \ge x, T^*_{n,1:2} \ge \hat{c}_1\} \le \alpha$$



### Estimation of the $c_i$ (continued)

#### Start with $c_1$ :

• 
$$\hat{c}_1 = \inf\{x \in \mathbf{R} : \frac{1}{s}\hat{P}_n\{T^*_{n,1:1} \ge x\} \le \alpha\}$$

#### Then move on to $c_2$ :

• 
$$\hat{c}_2$$
 is the smallest  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  for which  

$$\frac{1}{s-1}\hat{P}_n\{T^*_{n,2:2} \ge x, T^*_{n,1:2} < \hat{c}_1\} + \frac{2}{s}\hat{P}_n\{T^*_{n,2:2} \ge x, T^*_{n,1:2} \ge \hat{c}_1\} \le \alpha$$

And so forth ...

Unlike Troendle (2000), monotonicity  $\hat{c}_{i+1} \geq \hat{c}_i$  is not enforced.



Problem Formulation	Existing Methods	New Method	Theory & Practice	Simulations
Outline				



- 2 Existing Methods
- 3 New Method
- 4 Theory & Practice

### 5 Simulations



# Some Theory

### Assumptions

- (1) The sampling distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n \theta)$  under *P* converges to a limit distribution with continuous marginals
- (2) The bootstrap consistently estimates this distribution
- (3)  $\sqrt{n}\hat{\sigma}_{n,i}$  and  $\sqrt{n}\hat{\sigma}_{n,i}^*$  converge to the same constant in probability (for i = 1, ..., s)
- (4) The limiting joint distribution corresponding to the 'true' test statistics is exchangeable



・ロン ・ 四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

# Some Theory

### Assumptions

- (1) The sampling distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n \theta)$  under *P* converges to a limit distribution with continuous marginals
- (2) The bootstrap consistently estimates this distribution
- (3)  $\sqrt{n}\hat{\sigma}_{n,i}$  and  $\sqrt{n}\hat{\sigma}_{n,i}^*$  converge to the same constant in probability (for i = 1, ..., s)
- (4) The limiting joint distribution corresponding to the 'true' test statistics is exchangeable

#### Theorem

(i) Any false  $H_i$  will be rejected with  $p. \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 

(ii) The method asymptotically controls the FDR at level  $\alpha$ 



Some Practice

Assumption (4) is rather restrictive.

But simulations indicate that the method appears robust to

- different limiting variances of the 'true' test statistics
- different limiting correlations of the 'true' test statistics

So perhaps Assumption (4) can be relaxed ...



(日)

Problem Formulation	Existing Methods	New Method	Theory & Practice	Simulations
Outline				

- Problem Formulation
- 2 Existing Methods
- 3 New Method
- 4 Theory & Practice





Data generating process and testing problem:

- I.i.d. random vectors from  $N(\theta, \Sigma)$
- $\theta_i = 0$  or  $\theta_i = 0.2$
- $\Sigma$  has constant correlation  $\rho$
- $H_i: \theta_i \leq 0$  vs.  $H'_i: \theta_i > 0$
- $T_{n,i}$  is the usual *t*-statistic

Methods considered:

- (BH) Benjamini and Hochberg (1995)
- (STS) Storey et al. (2004) with  $\lambda = 0.5$
- (Boot) Bootstrap method

Criteria:

- Empirical FDR (nominal  $\alpha = 10\%$ )
- Average number of true rejections



(日)

### Results

	ho= 0		ho= 0.9			
	BH	STS	Boot	BH	STS	Boot
$AII \ \theta_i = 0$						
Control	10.3	11.0	10.3	4.5	32.6	10.2
Rejected	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Ten	$\theta_i = 0.2$	2		
Control	8.0	10.2	7.9	4.6	28.0	9.6
Rejected	3.4	3.9	3.4	3.7	4.6	5.9
Twenty five $\theta_i = 0.2$						
Control	5.0	10.4	6.3	3.8	19.3	9.6
Rejected	13.2	17.8	14.4	12.7	14.4	16.5
$AII \ \theta_i = 0.2$						
Control	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rejected	34.7	49.9	47.3	31.9	47.5	36.3
					< □	▶ ◀ 🗗 ▶ ◀

